

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7                   WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
8                   AT SEATTLE

9                   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

10                  Plaintiff,

11                  v.

12                  RAJINDER JOHAL, et al.,

13                  Defendants.

Case No. CR05-334RSL

ORDER ON MOTION FOR PRETRIAL  
DISCLOSURE OF RULE 404(b)  
EVIDENCE

This matter comes before the Court on “Defendant Johal’s Motion for Pretrial Disclosure of Rule 404(b) Evidence” (Dkt. # 136). The Court has considered this motion and the government’s response and finds that the government recognizes its obligations in this area. Per its response, the government will disclose any 404(b) evidence it intends to use no later than one month before trial. Because there is currently nothing to be disclosed, the motion is DENIED AS MOOT.

DATED this 25th day of May, 2006.



22  
23                  Robert S. Lasnik  
24                  United States District Judge  
25  
26

ORDER ON MOTION FOR PRETRIAL  
DISCLOSURE OF RULE 404(b)  
EVIDENCE